Received: 7/ 9/98 7:55AM; 215 563 4044 -> CHARLES KOEBER;

07/09/98 07:44 🕿 215 563 4044

Dann Dorfman Phl →→→ KOEBER SEARCHER

Ø001/004

menamin

HENRY H. SKILLMAN

JOHN C. DORFMAN

ROGER W. HERRELL

DONALD R. PIPER, JR. VINCENT T. PACE

JANET E. REED, PH.D.

STEPHEN H. ELAND

TINA L. KASHISHIAN

CRAIG M. BELL

PATRICK J. HAGAN

DANN DORFMAN HERRELL AND SKILLMAN

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

SUITE 720

1601 MARKET STREET

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2307

PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT LAW AND RELATED CAUSES

COUNSELLORS AT LAW

E-MAIL 76042.26620COMPUSERVE.COM

> FAX (215) 563-4044

TELEPHONE

(215) 563-4100

OF COUNTEL
C. MARSHALL DANN
JOHN S. CHILD, JR.
CHARLES N. QUINN

PATENY AGENTS
JOHN B. BERRYHILL, PH.D.
MITCHELL R. BRUSTEIN, PH.D.
KATHLEEN D. RIGAUT, PH.D.
SCOTT A. MCKEOWN

CLEMENT A. BERARD, JR. INJ AND NY SANS ONLY

TECHNICAL ASVISOR ROBIN 5, QUARTIN, PH.D. July 8, 1998

<u>via facsimile</u>

Mr. Charles Koeber 1103 Crystal Plaza 1 2001 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, Virginia 22202

Re:

U.S. Patent Application No. 08/338,567

"HEALTH SUPPLEMENTS CONTAINING PHYTO-OESTROGENS,

ANALOGUES OR METABOLITES THEREOF"

by Graham Edmund Kelly

Dear Charlie:

Following up our phone discussion of last week, here is the Power to Inspect and Make Copies relating to the above-referenced patent application, which is presently in the Office of Petitions, awaiting a decision on our Petition for Entry of Late Assignment Papers and for Patent to Issue to Assignee Under 37 C.F.R. §3.81(b). I was informed by a Mr. Dombroske, in response to a telephone inquiry on or about June 17, 1998, that the pending petition probably would not be reached for action until sometime in August 1998, at the earliest. As I mentioned to you, the applicant urgently wishes to move this application toward allowance because of a licensing commitment.

In accordance with your suggestion, I am attaching a chronology of the relevant dates from which you can see the unusually long delay in the processing of this application to issuance. I readily acknowledge that we are in part responsible for some of the delay, particularly because of the late filing of the assignment papers. However, if I had realized the length of the resulting delay, I would have refrained altogether from submitting the assignment papers.

The question at-hand is whether our petition for entry of late assignment papers under Rule 3.81(b) can now be formally withdrawn and, if so, will such withdrawal result in the

Anna Hearn 3054295